

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/549,620	12/04/2006	Karl-Heinz Hohenwarter	4303-1006	7996		
466 7590 07/12/2010 YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER			
209 Madison S		KO, STEPHEN K				
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Michalidra, V	Alexandria, VA 22514			1714		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/12/2010	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/549,620	HOHENWARTER, KARL-HEINZ
Examiner	Art Unit
STEPHEN KO	1714

	STEPHEN KO	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 Al The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the engraprist	o outonoion foo			
Laterisations of time in any be document of continued by Continued and American American American American American American (1) the experient of each under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mnliant Amandmant (PTOL-324)			
 L The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Q Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection to claims 7-8 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. 						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		,			
NEQUEST FOR NECONSIDERATION OF MEN. Men. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. The interval of the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
/Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1714	/S.K./, Examiner					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For claims 1 and 7, applicant argues that the references do not teach INDIVIDUALLY varying gas flow conditions in at least store of said at least two exhaust levels. The Examiner's position is that although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims (i.e. INDIVIDUALLY varying gas flow, ... said at least who exhaust levels). See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1933), For claim 1, applicant further argues that the references do not teach the "exhaust influencing means". The Examiner's position is that in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 112 sixth paragraph, applicant's exhaust influencing means is deemed to comprise a valve. The use of the valve is discussed in the abstract and paragraph [0029]. Since the combined teaching of the references see avive and the valve as disclosed is fully capable of performing the function as claimed (see the rejection in the final office action dated 03/22/010), the combined reference steach "exhaust influencing means". Note that a reclation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim